

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO

DEPARTMENT	Giurisprudenza
ACADEMIC YEAR	2020/2021
MASTER'S DEGREE (MSC)	LAW
SUBJECT	DEONTOLOGY, SOCIOLOGY AND LAW CRITICISM
TYPE OF EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITY	A
AMBIT	20002-Filosofico-giuridico
CODE	15551
SCIENTIFIC SECTOR(S)	IUS/20
HEAD PROFESSOR(S)	SCHIAVELLO ALDO Professore Ordinario Univ. di PALERMO
OTHER PROFESSOR(S)	
CREDITS	6
INDIVIDUAL STUDY (Hrs)	102
COURSE ACTIVITY (Hrs)	48
PROPAEDEUTICAL SUBJECTS	15550 - LEGAL PHILOSOPHY
MUTUALIZATION	
YEAR	4
TERM (SEMESTER)	2° semester
ATTENDANCE	Not mandatory
EVALUATION	Out of 30
TEACHER OFFICE HOURS	SCHIAVELLO ALDO
	Wednesday 09:00 12:00 Dipartimento di giurisprudenzaPiazza Bologni, 8, I piano- Stanza del Direttore del Dipartimento

DOCENTE: Prof. ALDO SCHIAVELLO- Lettere M-Z

DOCENTE: Prof. ALDO SCHIAVELLO- Lett	ere M-Z
PREREQUISITES	Capacity to critically reflecting on the relationship between law and justice; basic knowledge of adjudication and administration in contemporary states; basic knowledge on self-regulation as a legal source.
LEARNING OUTCOMES	Knowledge and understanding: knowledge and understanding of the issues concerning the relationship between law and justice, with special attention on the requirements of public ethics and deontological codes on legal operators. Applying knowledge and understanding: ability to analyse typical problems faced by legal operators in the context of a constitutional democracy, and typical conflicts between personal and professional ethics. Making judgments: ability to propose solutions to hard deontological problems, and ability to make competent and well-supported judgments on the ethical and deontological soundness of legal actions and decisions. Communication: ability to correctly formulate and balance reasons in favour and against solutions to ethical and deontological problems. Lifelong learning skills: development the aptitude to face ethical and deontological problems from the peculiar perspective of legal operators.
ASSESSMENT METHODS	 there are possible exams at the end of the course Final exam. Exam type: oral exam; 2 minimum number of questions Evaluation: Grades on a scale between 18 and 30 cum laude. Evaluation Grid: Excellent: 30-30 cum laude. Excellent knowledge and understanding, excellent communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. Very good: 26-29. Good knowledge and understanding, good communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. Good: 24-25. Basic knowledge and understanding, average communication skills, limited argumentative skills. Average: 21-23. Limited basic knowledge and understanding, sufficient communication skills, poor argumentative skills. Fair: 18-20. Minimal basic knowledge and understanding, poor communication skills, poor argumentative skills.
EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	The course aims at examining, with a multidisciplinar approach, relevant ethical and deontological issues typically faced by legal operators, encouraging students to develop a critical awareness of the requirements of their peculiar role in the context of a constitutional democracy.
TEACHING METHODS	Lectures, practice exercises
SUGGESTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	Il testo per la preparazione agli esami e' il seguente: Giorgio Maniaci, Contro il paternalismo giuridico, GIAPPICHELLI TORINO 2012, presentazione, cap. I, II, III

Hrs	Frontal teaching
2	Judge's obligations: rationality. Impartiality. Scientific truth; formal and substantial rationality
4	pros and cons formal and substantial conceptions rationality
6	Structure judicial argumentation and interpretative arguments
4	Justification judicial review
2	Authority and exclusionary reasons
2	The rule of law and final courts
2	Paternalism and antipaternalism
8	Four conditions for sufficient autonomy
6	Perfectionist argument and utilitarian argument
6	Theological argument and slippery slope
6	Non disposable rights and harm principle
2	Ordinary morality and role ethics
2	Justificating reasons in specification of professional ethics
4	Reasons of specification of legal professions
4	Civic morals and legal profesisonal ethics
2	The social role of lawyers
2	Deontological ethics and virtue ethics
4	Impartiality
4	Loyalty and fair play
4	Justice and rule of law

Hrs	Frontal teaching
2	Provisional Conclusions
4	Legal Ethics
4	Judges's ethics
4	Notaries's ethics
4	Mediation ethics
2	Conclusions

DOCENTE: Prof. ALDO SCHIAVELLO- Lettere A-L

DOCENTE: Prof. ALDO SCHIAVELLO- Lettere A-L	
PREREQUISITES	Capacity to critically reflecting on the relationship between law and justice; basic knowledge of adjudication and administration in contemporary states; basic knowledge on self-regulation as a legal source.
LEARNING OUTCOMES	Knowledge and understanding: knowledge and understanding of the issues concerning the relationship between law and justice, with special attention on the requirements of public ethics and deontological codes on legal operators. Applying knowledge and understanding: ability to analyse typical problems faced by legal operators in the context of a constitutional democracy, and typical conflicts between personal and professional ethics. Making judgments: ability to propose solutions to hard deontological problems, and ability to make competent and well-supported judgments on the ethical and deontological soundness of legal actions and decisions. Communication: ability to correctly formulate and balance reasons in favour and against solutions to ethical and deontological problems. Lifelong learning skills: development the aptitude to face ethical and deontological problems from the peculiar perspective of legal operators.
ASSESSMENT METHODS	The exam will consist of a written part (with the possibility of rescheduling it earlier) and a discussion. The written test will have two open written questions on the topics. Evaluation Grid: - Excellent: 30-30 cum laude. Excellent knowledge and understanding, excellent communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. - Very good: 26-29. Good knowledge and understanding, good communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. - Good: 24-25. Basic knowledge and understanding, average communication skills, limited argumentative skills. - Average: 21-23. Limited basic knowledge and understanding, sufficient communication skills, poor argumentative skills. - Fair: 18-20. Minimal basic knowledge and understanding, poor communication skills, poor argumentative skills.
EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	The course aims at examining, with a multidisciplinar approach, relevant ethical and deontological issues typically faced by legal operators, encouraging students to develop a critical awareness of the requirements of their peculiar role in the context of a constitutional democracy.
TEACHING METHODS	The course will have a first part, more theoretical (lectures), and a second one practical (seminars) dedicated to the discussion of the professional ethics of the different legal roles. In this second part various legal practitioners (judges, lawyers, notaries, public officials) will be invited to take part to the course. In this part specific and problematic cases will be discussed.
SUGGESTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	I. Trujillo, Etica delle professioni legali, Il mulino, Bologna 2013 e F. Benatti, Etica, impresa, contratto e mercato, Il mulino, Bologna 2014. Foreign students can use: J. Waldron,The concept and the Rule of Law. New York University School of Law. Working Papers, November, 2008 e S.M. Roesler, The Ethics of Global Justice Lawyering, Yale Human Rights Development Journal, vol. 13, 1, article 3.

Hrs	Frontal teaching
2	Judge's obligations: rationality. Impartiality. Scientific truth; formal and substantial rationality
4	pros and cons formal and substantial conceptions rationality
6	Structure judicial argumentation and interpretative arguments
4	Justification judicial review
2	Authority and exclusionary reasons
2	The rule of law and final courts
2	Paternalism and antipaternalism
8	Four conditions for sufficient autonomy
6	Perfectionist argument and utilitarian argument
6	Theological argument and slippery slope
6	Non disposable rights and harm principle
2	Ordinary morality and role ethics
2	Justificating reasons in specification of professional ethics
4	Reasons of specification of legal professions
4	Civic morals and legal profesisonal ethics
2	The social role of lawyers
2	Deontological ethics and virtue ethics

Hrs	Frontal teaching
4	Impartiality
4	Loyalty and fair play
4	Justice and rule of law
2	Provisional Conclusions
4	Legal Ethics
4	Judges's ethics
4	Notaries's ethics
4	Mediation ethics
2	Conclusions