

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO

| DEPARTMENT | Giurisprudenza |
|------------------------------|---|
| ACADEMIC YEAR | 2017/2018 |
| MASTER'S DEGREE (MSC) | LAW |
| SUBJECT | HUMAN RIGHTS |
| TYPE OF EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITY | D |
| AMBIT | 20016-A scelta dello studente (dm270) |
| CODE | 17963 |
| SCIENTIFIC SECTOR(S) | IUS/20 |
| HEAD PROFESSOR(S) | BARTOLI CLELIA Professore Associato Univ. di PALERMO |
| OTHER PROFESSOR(S) | |
| CREDITS | 6 |
| INDIVIDUAL STUDY (Hrs) | 102 |
| COURSE ACTIVITY (Hrs) | 48 |
| PROPAEDEUTICAL SUBJECTS | 15550 - LEGAL PHILOSOPHY |
| MUTUALIZATION | |
| YEAR | 2 |
| TERM (SEMESTER) | 2° semester |
| ATTENDANCE | Not mandatory |
| EVALUATION | Out of 30 |
| TEACHER OFFICE HOURS | BARTOLI CLELIA |
| | Friday 12:00 14:00 Dipartimento di Giurisprudenza, Piazza Bologni, 4º piano |

DOCENTE: Prof.ssa CLELIA BARTOLI

| DOCENTE: Prof.ssa CLELIA BARTOLI | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| PREREQUISITES | Basic knowledge of the notion of right, the evolution of human rights, and the protection of fundamental rights in national and international legal systems. |
| LEARNING OUTCOMES | Knowledge and understanding: knowledge and understanding of the principles and fundamental developments of human rights theory and practice. Applying knowledge and understanding: ability to evaluate institutions, legal systems and legal practices from the point of view of human rights doctrines. Making judgments: ability to make autonomous judgments on issues concerning justification, protection and balancing of human rights. Communication: ability to communicate in a clear and exhaustive way, and to argue properly and convincingly. Lifelong learning skills: development of critical and conceptual skills. |
| ASSESSMENT METHODS | Exam type: oral exam, minimum two questions Evaluation: Grades on a scale between 18 and 30 cum laude. |
| | Evaluation Grid: - Excellent: 30-30 cum laude. Excellent knowledge and understanding, excellent communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language Very good: 26-29. Good knowledge and understanding, good communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language Good: 24-25. Basic knowledge and understanding, average communication skills, limited argumentative skills Average: 21-23. Limited basic knowledge and understanding, sufficient communication skills, poor argumentative skills Fair: 18-20. Minimal basic knowledge and understanding, poor communication skills, poor argumentative skills. |
| EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES | The course aims at critically examining the basic features of contemporary human rights practice. |
| TEACHING METHODS | Lectures |
| SUGGESTED BIBLIOGRAPHY | C. Beitz, The Idea of Human Rights, Oxford University Press, 2009 Reading Materials |

SYLLABUS

| Hrs | Frontal teaching |
|-----|--|
| 2 | The Historical Roots of Human Rights |
| 2 | From Natural Law to Human Rights |
| 4 | Rights and the Law |
| 4 | The Universal Declaration of Human Rights |
| 4 | Human Rights as Positive and International Rights. Specification of Human Rights Law |
| 4 | Are Human Rights Eurocentric? |
| 6 | Subjective Legal Positions |
| 10 | Human Rights and World Poverty |
| 12 | The Enforcement of Human Rights |